

## MAPPING THEOREMS ON $\aleph$ -SPACES

Shou LIN

*Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, People's Republic of China*

Received 30 March 1987

Revised 18 September 1987

We prove two mapping theorems on  $\aleph$ -spaces: (1)  $\aleph$ -spaces are preserved under closed, Lindelöf mappings; (2) a perfect inverse image of an  $\aleph$ -space is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

AMS (MOS) Subj. Class.: Primary 5410

$k$ -network	$G_\delta$ -diagonal
$\aleph$ -space	closed Lindelöf mapping
Fréchet space	compact-covering mapping
Lašnev space	perfect mapping

### 1. Introduction

The concept of  $\aleph$ -spaces was first introduced by Meara in [7] as a generalization of metric spaces and  $\aleph_0$ -spaces (Michael [6]). The main results of this paper are two mapping theorems on  $\aleph$ -spaces:

- (1)  $\aleph$ -spaces are preserved under closed Lindelöf mappings. This affirmatively answers a question posed by Tanaka in [8].
- (2) A perfect inverse image of an  $\aleph$ -space is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be at least  $T_1$  and regular. All mappings are continuous and surjective. A mapping  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  is to be denoted by  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .  $N$  denotes the set of positive integers.

Let  $X$  be a topological space. A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  is a  $k$ -network for  $X$  if for every compact set  $K \subset X$  and neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$ , there is a finite  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  so that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}' \subset U$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cs-network for  $X$  if for every convergent sequence  $Z$  in  $X$  and neighborhood  $U$  of  $Z$ , there is a  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $Z$  is eventually in  $F$  and  $F \subset U$ . A regular space with  $\sigma$ -locally-finite  $k$ -network is called an  $\aleph$ -space [7].

## 2. Closed images

Mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called Lindelöf if for each  $y \in Y$  fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a Lindelöf subspace of  $X$ ;  $f$  is called compact-covering [6] if every compact subset of  $Y$  is the image of a compact subset of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is closed Lindelöf, then  $f$  is a compact-covering.*

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $Y$ ; then  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a Lindelöf subset of  $X$ . But if  $g = f|_{f^{-1}(K)}$ , then  $g$  is a closed mapping from the paracompact space  $f^{-1}(K)$  onto  $K$ . By Proposition 7.2 in [6],  $g$  is compact-covering. Since  $K$  is compact, there exists a compact subset  $L$  of  $f^{-1}(K)$  such that  $g(L) = K$ . Also,  $L$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , and  $f(L) = K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.**  *$\aleph$ -spaces are preserved under closed Lindelöf mappings.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is closed Lindelöf.  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally-finite closed  $k$ -network  $\mathcal{P}$ . Put  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(P) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ . Since  $f$  is closed Lindelöf,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and locally-countable collection of closed subsets of  $Y$ . It is clear that the compact-covering image of a  $k$ -network is a  $k$ -network.

Hence, by Lemma 2.1,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -locally-countable closed  $k$ -network. Foged [1, Theorem 4, (a)  $\rightarrow$  (d)] proved that a space with  $\sigma$ -locally-finite closed  $k$ -network has a  $\sigma$ -discrete cs-network. It is not difficult to check that, in his proof, the condition “ $\sigma$ -locally-finite closed  $k$ -network” can be replaced by “ $\sigma$ -locally-countable and  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving closed  $k$ -network”. Therefore a space with  $\sigma$ -locally-countable and  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving closed  $k$ -network is an  $\aleph$ -space. Therefore  $Y$  is an  $\aleph$ -space.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The following question is posed by Tanaka in [8]: Are the spaces which are closed Lindelöf images of metric spaces  $\aleph$ -spaces? Theorem 2.2 answers the question affirmatively.

**Remark 2.** For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $I_\alpha = [0, 1]$  with usual topology, and let  $X$  be quotient space of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \omega_1} I_\alpha$  obtained by identifying  $\{0\}$ . Then  $X$  is a Lašnev space and is not an  $\aleph$ -space (by [5, Proposition 6.4]). Hence  $\aleph$ -spaces are not preserved under closed mappings.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The following properties of a space are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is a Fréchet and  $\aleph$ -space.
- (b)  $X$  is a closed Lindelöf image of a metric space.

**Proof.** (b)  $\rightarrow$  (a). It is known that closed mappings preserve the Fréchet property. By Theorem 2.2,  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space.

(a)  $\rightarrow$  (b). Suppose  $X$  is a Fréchet and  $\aleph$ -space. Foged [2, Theorem 1] has shown that  $X$  is a Fréchet space with  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving  $k$ -network if and only if  $X$  is a Lašnev space (a space which is a closed image of a metric space). Let  $M$  be a metric space,  $f: M \rightarrow X$  a closed mapping. Since  $M$  is a paracompact  $\aleph$ -space, and  $X$  a  $k$ -space with point-countable closed  $k$ -network, according to [5, Proposition 6.4] for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  (boundary of  $f^{-1}(y)$ ) is Lindelöf. Thus there exists a closed subset  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $g = f|_{M'}: M' \rightarrow X$  is closed Lindelöf with  $g(M') = X$ . Hence  $X$  is a closed Lindelöf image of a metric space.  $\square$

### 3. Perfect inverse images

For a topological space  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{K}(X) = \{K \subset X \mid K \text{ is a nonempty compact subset of } X\}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are collections of subsets of  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . For any  $A \subset X$ , let  $(\mathcal{U})_A = \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\text{st}(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup (\mathcal{U})_A$ .

We consider the following properties of space  $X$ .

(A) For any open cover of  $X$  there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete refinement  $\mathcal{F}$  such that every compact subset of  $X$  is covered by a finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(B) For any open cover of  $X$  there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{G}_n)$  of open refinements which satisfies the condition that for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , there exist  $K_i \in \mathcal{K}(X)_{(i \leq m)}$  such that  $K = \bigcup_{i \leq m} K_i$  and  $|(\mathcal{G}_n)_{K_i}| = 1_{(i \leq m)}$ .

(C) There exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{G}_n)$  of open covers such that for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $K = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $Y$  is an  $\aleph$ -space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect mapping, then  $X$  has property (A).*

**Proof.** Since  $Y$  is an  $\aleph$ -space,  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete  $k$ -network (by Foged [1, Theorem 4]). Suppose  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{P}_n$  is a  $k$ -network for  $Y$ , each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a discrete collection of subsets of  $Y$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is any open cover of  $X$ . For each  $y \in Y$  we can find a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{U}(y) \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}(y)$ . Let  $G(y) = Y - f(X - \bigcup \mathcal{U}(y))$ , then  $\mathcal{G} = \{G(y) \mid y \in Y\}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ . By the definition of  $k$ -network and the regularity of  $Y$ , without loss of generality, we may assume  $\mathcal{P}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Consequently for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  there exist  $U(i, P) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f^{-1}(P) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq m_P} U(i, P)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(n, i) = \{f^{-1}(P) \cap U(i, P) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n,i} \mathcal{F}(n, i)$  satisfies (A).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** (A)  $\rightarrow$  (B).

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of a space  $X$  and take a  $\sigma$ -discrete refinement  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  with the property (A). Let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{F(n, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in A_n\}$ . By regularity, we may assume each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in A_n$ ,

pick  $U(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $F(n, \alpha) \subset U(n, \alpha)$ , and put  $W(n, \alpha) = U(n, \alpha) - \bigcup \{F(n, \beta) \mid \beta \in A_n - \{\alpha\}\}$ . We define

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{W(n, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in A_n\} \cup \{U - \bigcup \mathcal{F}_n \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

It follows that  $(\mathcal{W}_n)$  satisfies (B).

It is clear that  $(\mathcal{W}_n)$  is the sequence of open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . To see that  $(\mathcal{W}_n)$  satisfies (B), let  $K \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ , by the property (A), there exists a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{F}' = \{F_i \mid i \leq m\}$  of  $(\mathcal{F})_K$  which covers  $K$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , there exists a  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{n_i}$ . Then  $K \cap F_i \in \mathcal{H}(X)_{(i \leq m)}$ ,  $K = \bigcup_{i \leq m} K \cap F_i$  and  $|(\mathcal{W}_{n_i})_{K \cap F_i}| = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** (B) +  $G_\delta$ -diagonal  $\rightarrow$  (C).

**Proof.** Suppose a space  $X$  with property (B) has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Clearly  $X$  is a submetacompact (i.e.,  $\theta$ -refinable) space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, so  $X$  has a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal [4, Theorem 2.11]. Let  $(\mathcal{G}_n)$  be a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal sequence, i.e.,  $\{x\} = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)}$  for each  $x \in X$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Now we prove for each  $K \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ ,  $K = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ . Suppose  $x \in X - K$ ; then  $\{X - \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an open cover of the compact subset  $K$ , so there exists a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \subset X - \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ . Therefore  $K \cap \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $x \notin \text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)$ . Hence  $K = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ .

Now, we use the regularity of  $X$  and property (B) to inductively define, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_{m,n})_n$  of open covers for  $X$  such that

- (a) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{\bar{V} \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}\}$  is a refinement of  $(\bigwedge_{i,j < m} \mathcal{V}_{i,j}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{k \leq m} \mathcal{G}_k)$ ;
- (b)  $(\mathcal{V}_{m,n})_n$  is a sequence satisfying the condition of property (B).

We prove for each  $K \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ ,  $\bigcap_{m,k} \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{V}_{m,k})} = K$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $s > n$ . Since the sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_{s,k})_k$  satisfies (b), there exists  $K_i \in \mathcal{H}(X)_{(i \leq h)}$  such that  $K = \bigcup_{i \leq h} K_i$  with  $|(\mathcal{V}_{s,k_i})_{K_i}| = 1$ . Then

$$\overline{\text{st}(K_i, \mathcal{V}_{s,k_i})} = \bigcup \{\bar{V} \mid V \in (\mathcal{V}_{s,k_i})_{K_i}\} \subset \text{st}(K_i, \mathcal{V}_{n,n}) \subset \text{st}(K_i, \mathcal{G}_n).$$

Pick  $r > \max\{s, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$ ; consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{m,k} \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{V}_{m,k})} &\subset \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{V}_{r,1})} \\ &= \bigcup_{i \leq h} \overline{\text{st}(K_i, \mathcal{V}_{r,1})} \subset \bigcup_{i \leq h} \overline{\text{st}(K_i, \mathcal{V}_{s,k_i})} \subset \text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{m,k} \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{V}_{m,k})} \subset \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)} = K.$$

So  $K = \bigcap_{m,k} \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{V}_{m,k})}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose there exists a perfect mapping  $f$  from a topological space  $X$  onto an  $\aleph$ -space  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it satisfies any of the following:

- (a)  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.
- (b)  $X$  has a point-countable  $k$ -network.

**Proof.** Necessity is obvious.

Sufficiency: Since a  $\sigma$ -space has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, by Corollary 3.8 in [5], it is sufficient to show that if  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space.

Suppose  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{G}_n)$  of open covers for  $X$  such that for each  $K \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ ,  $K = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ . We can assume  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 3.1,  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally-finite closed refinement  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  such that every compact subset of  $X$  is covered by a finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(n) = \bigcup_m \mathcal{F}(n, m)$  where each  $\mathcal{F}(n, m)$  is a locally-finite collection of subsets of  $X$ . We can assume  $\mathcal{F}(n, m) \subset \mathcal{F}(n, m+1)$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $Y$  is an  $\aleph$ -space, let  $\bigcup_k \mathcal{L}(k)$  be a  $k$ -network for  $Y$  where each  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  is locally-finite and  $\mathcal{L}(k) \subset \mathcal{L}(k+1)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(k) = \{f^{-1}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{L}(k)\}$ ; then  $\mathcal{D}(k)$  is a locally-finite collection of closed subsets of  $X$ . Put

$$\mathcal{P}(n, m, k) = \mathcal{F}(n, m) \wedge \mathcal{D}(k).$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{P}(n, m, k)$  is locally-finite for each  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We complete the proof by showing that  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n,m,k} \mathcal{P}(n, m, k)$  is a  $k$ -network for  $X$ . For an open subset  $W$  and a compact subset  $K \subset W \subset X$ , since  $K = \bigcap_n \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ ,  $\{W\} \cup \{X - \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an open cover of compact subset  $f^{-1}f(K)$  of  $X$ . Thus there exists a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^{-1}f(K) \subset W \cup \overline{X - \text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ , so  $\overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)} \cap f^{-1}f(K) \subset W$ . For each  $x \in f^{-1}f(K) - W$ , since  $x \in \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$ , there exists an open set  $V(x)$  containing  $x$  with  $V(x) \cap \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)} = \emptyset$ . Let  $G = W \cup (\bigcup \{V(x) \mid x \in f^{-1}f(K) - W\})$ , then  $f(K) \subset Y - f(X - G)$ . So there exists a finite  $\mathcal{L}'(k) \subset \mathcal{L}(k)$  such that  $f(K) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{L}'(k) \subset Y - f(X - G)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $\mathcal{D}'(k) = \{f^{-1}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{L}'(k)\}$ ; then  $f^{-1}f(K) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{D}'(k) \subset G$ . On the other hand, by the property of  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ , there exists a finite  $\mathcal{F}'(n, m) \subset (\mathcal{F}(n, m))_K$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}'(n, m) \subset \overline{\text{st}(K, \mathcal{G}_n)}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $\mathcal{P}'(n, m, k) = \mathcal{F}'(n, m) \wedge \mathcal{D}'(k)$ . It is easy to check that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}'(n, m, k) \subset W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Suppose  $Y$  is an  $\aleph$ -space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an open, closed, and finite-to-one mapping. Then  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space.*

**Proof.** Since  $\aleph$ -space is a  $\sigma$ -space,  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -space [3]. Then  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. By Theorem 3.4,  $X$  is an  $\aleph$ -space.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kuo-Shih Kao for his direction.

**References**

- [1] L. Foged, Characterization of  $\aleph$ -spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 110 (1984) 59–63.
- [2] L. Foged, A characterization of closed images of metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1985) 487–490.
- [3] R.F. Gittings, Open mapping theory, *Set-Theoretic Topology* (Academic Press, New York, 1977) 141–191.
- [4] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984) 432–502.
- [5] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, *Pacific J. Math.* 113 (1984) 303–332.
- [6] E. Michael,  $\aleph$ -spaces, *J. Math. Mech.* 15 (1966) 983–1002.
- [7] P. O'Meara, A new class of topological spaces, University of Alberta Dissertation, 1966.
- [8] Y. Tanaka, A characterization for the products of  $k$ -and- $\aleph$ -spaces and related results, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 59 (1976) 149–155.