

Fucai Lin, Shou Lin, and Iván Sánchez*

A note on pseudobounded paratopological groups

Abstract: Let G be a paratopological group. Then G is said to be *pseudobounded* (resp. ω -*pseudobounded*) if for every neighbourhood V of the identity e in G , there exists a natural number n such that $G = V^n$ (resp. we have $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^n$). We show that every feebly compact (2-pseudocompact) pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded) premeager paratopological group is a topological group. Also, we prove that if G is a totally ω -pseudobounded paratopological group such that G is a Lusin space, then is G a topological group. We present some examples of paratopological groups with interesting properties:

- (1) There exists a metrizable, zero-dimensional and pseudobounded topological group;
- (2) There exists a Hausdorff ω -pseudobounded paratopological group G such that G contains a dense subgroup which is not ω -pseudobounded;
- (3) There exists a Hausdorff connected paratopological group which is not ω -pseudobounded.

Keywords: Paratopological group; Pseudobounded; ω -pseudobounded; Topological group; Premeager space; Lusin space

MSC: 54A05, 54B05, 54C05, 54H11

DOI 10.2478/taa-2014-0003

Received November 30, 2013; accepted February 1, 2014.

1 Introduction

A *paratopological group* is a group endowed with a topology for which multiplication in the group is jointly continuous. If, additionally, the inversion in a paratopological group is continuous, then it is called a *topological group*.

Let G be a paratopological group and $A \subseteq G$. According to [4], A is a *pseudobounded subset* of G , if for every neighbourhood V of the identity e in G , there exists a natural number n such that $A \subseteq V^n$. If G is pseudobounded in itself, then we say that G is *pseudobounded*.

Following [8], a subset A of a paratopological group G is a ω -*pseudobounded subset* of G , if for every neighbourhood V of the identity e in G , we have that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^n$. If G is ω -pseudobounded in itself, then we say that G is ω -*pseudobounded*.

Clearly, every pseudobounded paratopological group is ω -pseudobounded. However, the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ endowed with the usual topology is a ω -pseudobounded topological group which is not pseudobounded (see [8, Example 3]).

We show that every feebly compact (2-pseudocompact) pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded) premeager paratopological group is a topological group (see Corollaries 2.3 and 2.10). Also, we prove that if G is a totally

Fucai Lin: School of mathematics and statistics, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, P. R. China, E-mail: linfucai2008@aliyun.com

Shou Lin: Institute of Mathematics, Ningde Teachers' College, Ningde, Fujian 352100, P. R. China, E-mail: shoulin60@163.com

***Corresponding Author: Iván Sánchez:** Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186, Col. Vicentina, Del. Iztapalapa, C.P. 09340, Mexico, D.F., E-mail: isr.uami@gmail.com

ω -pseudobounded paratopological group such that G is a Lusin space, then is G a topological group (see Proposition 2.8).

In [8, Proposition 7], the authors showed that each connected topological group is ω -pseudobounded. However, the converse is not true: there exists a metrizable, zero-dimensional and pseudobounded topological group (see Example 2.22). This fact answers Question 9 in [8].

Moreover, we present some examples of pseudoboundedness or ω -pseudoboundedness in the class of paratopological groups.

2 Pseudobounded paratopological groups

A paratopological group G is called *premeager* if for each nowhere dense subset A of G , we have $A^n \neq G$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [8]).

A paratopological group G is *saturated* if for each neighbourhood U of the identity in G , we have $\text{Int}(U^{-1}) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a pseudobounded and premeager paratopological group. Then G is saturated.*

Proof. Take a neighbourhood U of the identity e in G . By the continuity of the multiplication in G , there exists a neighbourhood V of e such that $V^2 \subseteq U$. We have that $\overline{V^{-1}} \subseteq U^{-1}$. Suppose that $\text{Int}(U^{-1}) = \emptyset$. Since $\overline{V^{-1}} \subseteq U^{-1}$, $\text{Int}(\overline{V^{-1}}) = \emptyset$, i.e., $\overline{V^{-1}}$ is a nowhere dense subset of G . Since G is pseudobounded, there exists a natural number n such that $V^n = G$, so $\overline{V^{-1}{}^n} = G$, which contradicts that G is premeager. We conclude that G is saturated. \square

A space X is *feebly compact* if every locally finite family of open sets in X is finite. In the class of Tychonoff spaces, feeble compactness is equivalent to pseudocompactness.

Proposition 2.2. *([12]) Every saturated feebly compact paratopological group is a topological group.*

Corollary 2.3. *Every feebly compact, pseudobounded and premeager paratopological group is a topological group*

Problem 2.4. *If G is feebly compact pseudobounded (Hausdorff) paratopological group, is G a topological group?*

A *Lusin space* is an uncountable space such that every nowhere dense subset of the space is countable. It follows from the definition that every Lusin paratopological group is premeager.

Proposition 2.5. *If G is a ω -pseudobounded and Lusin paratopological group, then G is saturated.*

Proof. Let U a neighbourhood of the identity e in G . Suppose that U^{-1} has empty interior. Take a neighbourhood V of e such that $V^2 \subseteq U$. We have that $\overline{V^{-1}} \subseteq U^{-1}$, so $\overline{V^{-1}}$ is a nowhere dense subset of G . Since G is a Lusin space, V^{-1} is countable. It follows that V^n is countable for every $n \in \omega$, whence, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^n$ is countable. This contradiction shows that G is saturated. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a feebly compact, ω -pseudobounded, and Lusin paratopological group, then G is a topological group.*

Let G be a paratopological group with topology τ . We define the *conjugate topology* τ^{-1} on G by $\tau^{-1} = \{U^{-1} : U \in \tau\}$. The upper bound $\tau^* = \tau \vee \tau^{-1}$ is a topological group topology on G and $G^* = (G, \tau^*)$ is called *the topological group associated to G* . If \mathcal{U} is local base at the identity in G , then $\mathcal{U}^* = \{U \cap U^{-1} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is local base at the identity in G^* .

Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a property. A paratopological group G is *totally* \mathcal{P} if the associated topological group G^* has the property \mathcal{P} .

Lemma 2.7. ([2]) *Suppose that G is a paratopological group and not a topological group. Then there exists an open neighbourhood of the identity in G such that $U \cap U^{-1}$ is nowhere dense in G .*

The following result gives a partial answer to [8, Question 8]: If G is a totally ω -pseudobounded premeager paratopological group, is G a topological group?

Proposition 2.8. *If G is a totally ω -pseudobounded paratopological group such that G is a Lusin space, then G is a topological group.*

Proof. Suppose that G is not a topological group. By Lemma 2.7, there exists an open neighbourhood of the identity in G such that $U \cap U^{-1}$ is nowhere dense in G . Arguing as in Proposition 2.5, we conclude that G^* is countable, so G is countable. This contradicts that G is a Lusin space. Therefore G is a topological group. \square

We say that a paratopological group G is *2-pseudocompact* if $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{U_n^{-1}} \neq \emptyset$, for each non-increasing sequence $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of non-empty open subsets of G .

Proposition 2.9. *Every ω -pseudobounded 2-pseudocompact paratopological group is pseudobounded.*

Proof. Let G be a ω -pseudobounded 2-pseudocompact paratopological group. Take a neighbourhood U of the identity e in G . Since G is ω -pseudobounded, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n$. Suppose that $G \setminus \overline{U^{-n}}$ is a non-empty open set for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The family $\{G \setminus \overline{U^{-n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of non-empty open subsets of G . We have that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{(G \setminus \overline{U^{-n}})^{-1}} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{(G \setminus U^{-n})^{-1}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (G \setminus U^n) = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts the 2-pseudocompactness of G . Therefore, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G = \overline{U^{-n}} \subseteq U^{-n-1}$, so $G = U^{n+1}$. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Every 2-pseudocompact ω -pseudobounded premeager paratopological group is a topological group.*

Proof. Let G be a 2-pseudocompact ω -pseudobounded premeager paratopological group. By Proposition 2.9 G is pseudobounded. According to [12], every 2-pseudocompact paratopological group is feebly compact. We finish the proof applying Corollary 2.3. \square

Problem 2.11. *Let G be a 2-pseudocompact pseudobounded paratopological group. Is G a topological group?*

Given a pseudobounded subset A of a paratopological group G , in general, \overline{A} and A^{-1} are not pseudobounded subsets of G . We have the next result in this direction.

Proposition 2.12. *If a paratopological group G contains a pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded) dense subgroup, then G is pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded).*

Proof. Let H be a pseudobounded dense subgroup of G . Take an open neighbourhood U of the identity e in G . Since H is a pseudobounded subset of G , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H \subseteq U^n$, equivalently, $H \subseteq U^{-n}$. Hence, $G = \overline{H} \subseteq HU^{-1} \subseteq U^{-n-1}$, whence, $G = U^{n+1}$. Using a similar argument, we can prove that if H is an ω -pseudobounded dense subgroup of a paratopological group G , then G is ω -pseudobounded. \square

Lemma 2.13. ([14]) *Suppose that H is dense subgroup of a paratopological group G . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that W_1, \dots, W_{2n} are open neighbourhoods of the identity e in G . Then, for every neighbourhood O of e and*

every choice $w_i \in W_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ satisfying $w_1 w_2^{-1} \cdots w_{2n-1} w_{2n}^{-1} \in H$, there exist elements $u_i \in H \cap w_i O$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ such that

$$u_1 u_2^{-1} \cdots u_{2n-1} u_{2n}^{-1} = w_1 w_2^{-1} \cdots w_{2n-1} w_{2n}^{-1}.$$

Here, we present the converse of Proposition 2.12 for topological groups.

Theorem 2.14. *If H is dense subgroup of a pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded) topological group G , then H is pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded).*

Proof. Suppose that G is ω -pseudobounded. Take a neighbourhood U of the identity e in H , there exists V neighbourhood of e in G such that $U = V \cap H$. We can find a symmetric neighbourhood W of e in G such that $W^2 \subseteq V$. Since G is ω -pseudobounded, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W^n$. Let us show that $H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n$. Take $h \in H$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h \in W^{2n}$. Since W is a symmetric neighbourhood W of e in G , we can put $h = w_1 w_2^{-1} \cdots w_{2n-1} w_{2n}^{-1}$, where $w_i \in W$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. By Lemma 2.13, there exist elements $u_i \in H \cap w_i W \subseteq H \cap W^2 \subseteq H \cap V = U$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ such that

$$h = w_1 w_2^{-1} \cdots w_{2n-1} w_{2n}^{-1} = u_1 u_2^{-1} \cdots u_{2n-1} u_{2n}^{-1}.$$

We have that $u_i^{-1} \in H \cap W^{-1} w_i^{-1} \subseteq H \cap W^2 \subseteq H \cap V = U$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. Therefore, $h = u_1 u_2^{-1} \cdots u_{2n-1} u_{2n}^{-1} \in U^{2n}$. It follows that $H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n$. The proof of the case pseudobounded is similar. \square

We need the following lemma to construct examples of Hausdorff paratopological groups.

Lemma 2.15. ([10, Proposition 1.1]) *Let G be a group, and let \mathcal{U} be a family of subsets of G containing the identity e in G . Suppose that the family \mathcal{U} satisfies the following conditions (called the Pontryagin's conditions):*

- i) for every $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, there is $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $W \in U \cap V$;
- ii) for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and each $x \in U$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $Vx \subseteq U$;
- iii) for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in G$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $xVx^{-1} \subseteq U$;
- iv) for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is an element $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V^2 \subseteq U$.

Then there exists a topology τ on G such that (G, τ) is a paratopological group and the family \mathcal{U} is a local base at the identity e in G . In addition, if the family \mathcal{U} satisfies $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}} UU^{-1} = \{e\}$, then the paratopological group (G, τ) is Hausdorff.

The following example shows that Theorem 2.14 is false in the class of Hausdorff ω -pseudobounded paratopological groups.

Example 2.16. *There exists a Hausdorff ω -pseudobounded paratopological group G such that G contains a dense subgroup which is not ω -pseudobounded.*

Proof. Consider the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. Fix a natural number k and put $U_n(k) = k(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us show that the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n(k) : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions i)–iv) in Lemma 2.15. To prove i), take $k_1, k_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $k = k_1 k_2$ and $n = n_1 n_2$. Clearly, $U_n(k) \subseteq U_{n_1}(k_1) \cap U_{n_2}(k_2)$. Let us check ii). Choose $x \in U_n(k)$, for some $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can find $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $x \in ks + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, so $x - ks \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $x - ks + (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}) \subseteq (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, whence, $x + (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}) \subseteq ks + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$. Therefore, $x + U_m(k) \subseteq U_n(k)$. Item iii) is trivial. To verify iv), take $U_n(k)$. We can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{2}{m} < \frac{1}{n}$. We have that $U_m(k) + U_m(k) = k(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}) + k(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}) \subseteq k(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + (-\frac{2}{m}, \frac{2}{m}) \subseteq U_n(k)$. By Lemma 2.15, there exists a topology σ on \mathbb{R} such that $G = (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ is a paratopological group and the family \mathcal{U} is a local base at 0 in G .

It is easy to check that $U_n(k) - U_n(k) = k\mathbb{Z} + (-\frac{2}{n}, \frac{2}{n})$ for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n(k) - U_n(k)) = k\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\bigcap_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n(k) - U_n(k)) = \{0\}$, so G is a Hausdorff space. Clearly, G is ω -pseudobounded.

Let α be a positive irrational number. Denote by H the subgroup of G generated by α . Let us show that H is dense in G . Take a non-empty open set O in G . We can find $a \in O$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a + U_n(k) \subseteq O$. There exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $r\alpha > a$. Put $p = r\alpha$. Let \mathbb{T} be the circle group endowed with the usual topology. Consider the continuous homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $f(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{k}}$, for each $x \in G$. Put $z = f(a)$. Clearly, $f(a + U_n(k)) = zf(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ is an open set in \mathbb{T} . Since the set $\{f(sp) : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{T} , there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(mp) \in (a + U_n(k))$. It follows that $mp \in a + U_n(k) + k\mathbb{Z} = a + k\mathbb{Z} + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$. Since $p > a$, we have that $mp > a$. Hence,

$$mr\alpha = mp \in a + k(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) = a + U_n(k) \subseteq O.$$

We conclude that H is dense in G . Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \alpha$, then the elements of $U_n(1) \cap H$ are non-negative real numbers, so $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \eta(U_n(1) \cap H) \neq H$. It follows that H is not ω -pseudobounded. \square

We have the following two questions.

Problem 2.17. *Let G be a regular ω -pseudobounded paratopological and H is a dense subgroup of G . Is H ω -pseudobounded?*

Problem 2.18. *Suppose that G is a pseudobounded paratopological and H is a dense subgroup of G . Is H pseudobounded?*

The multiplication mapping of a paratopological group G is said to *locally closed at e* if there exists an open neighborhood U of e in G satisfying the following condition:

(a) For each open neighborhood V of e in G and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\overline{V}^n \subset U$ then \overline{V}^n is closed in G .

Note. Obviously, if the multiplication map of a paratopological group is closed then it is locally closed at e .

Example 2.19. *There exists a topological group G which multiplication mapping is locally closed at e and not closed.*

Proof. Let G be the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ of all non-zero real numbers with the usual multiplication and usual topology. Then G is a topological group. Obviously, the product map is locally closed at 1. Obviously, both the sets \mathbb{Z} and $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are closed in G . Then the product of \mathbb{Z} and $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is \mathbb{Q} which is proper dense subset of G , and hence the product of \mathbb{Z} and $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not closed. Therefore, the product map is not a closed map. \square

The following gives a partial answer to Problem 2.17 and Problem 2.18.

Theorem 2.20. *Suppose that G is a pseudobounded (resp. ω -pseudobounded) regular paratopological group and H is a dense subgroup of G . If the multiplication mapping $H \times H$ into H is locally closed at e , then H is pseudobounded (resp. ω -pseudobounded).*

Proof. We shall show the case of ω -pseudoboundedness. The proof of analogous assertion for pseudoboundedness is quite similar.

Let U be an open neighborhood of e in H . Then there exists an open neighborhood V of e in G such that $V \cap H \subset \overline{V}^G \cap H \subset U$. Since G is ω -pseudobounded, we have $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^n$, and then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{V \cap H})^n = G$ since H is dense in G .

Next we shall show that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{V \cap H}^H)^n = H$. Indeed, pick any $h \in H$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h \in V^n$. Then h has the form $h = g_1 \cdots g_n$, where $g_i \in V$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Claim: $h \in (\overline{V \cap H}^H)^n$.

Let W be an any open neighborhood of h in H , and therefore there exists an open neighborhood O of e in G such that $W = O \cap H$. Then, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exist open neighborhoods W_i of g_i in G such that $\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} W_i \subset O$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $W_i \cap V \cap H \neq \emptyset$ since $g_i \in \overline{V \cap H}$. Therefore, $(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} W_i) \cap (V \cap H)^n \neq$

\emptyset , and thus $O \cap (V \cap H)^n \neq \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$W \cap (V \cap H)^n = (O \cap H) \cap (V \cap H)^n = O \cap (V \cap H)^n \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence $h \in \overline{(V \cap H)^n}^H$. Since the product map $H \times H$ into H is locally closed at e , we have

$$\overline{(V \cap H)^n}^H \subset \overline{(V \cap H^H)^n},$$

and then $h \in \overline{(V \cap H^H)^n}$.

By the arbitrary of h , it follows from Claim that we have

$$H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{(V \cap H^H)^n} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{(V \cap H)^n} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n,$$

that is, H is ω -pseudobounded. □

In [8], the authors posed the following questions:

A) Is every first-countable and pseudobounded paratopological group a topological group? (see [8, Question 2])

B) Is every first-countable and pseudobounded paratopological group metrizable?

The following example answers questions A) and B) in the negative.

Example 2.21. *There exists a normal first-countable and pseudobounded paratopological group which is non-metrizable.*

Proof. Consider $S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| = 1\}$ and put $U_n = \{e^{i\theta} : 0 \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathbb{K} be the circle group endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology, i.e., the topology on $S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| = 1\}$ such that the family $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a local base at 1 in \mathbb{K} . It is easy to see that \mathbb{K} is a normal space. Clearly, \mathbb{K} is a first-countable pseudobounded paratopological group which is not a topological group. Let us show that \mathbb{K} is non-metrizable. Suppose the contrary, i.e., \mathbb{K} is metrizable. Since G is separable, G is second countable. According to [13, Corollary 3.3], the associated topological group \mathbb{K}^* is second countable too. On the other hand, \mathbb{K}^* is a discrete uncountable topological group. This contradiction shows that \mathbb{K} is non-metrizable. □

Every connected topological group is ω -pseudobounded (see [8, Proposition 7]). The following example shows that the converse is false. This answers Question 9 in [8]. Also, Example 2.24 shows that [8, Proposition 7] can not be extended to Hausdorff paratopological groups, answering [8, Question 10] for the Hausdorff case.

Example 2.22. *There exists a metrizable, zero-dimensional and pseudobounded topological group.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{T} be the circle group endowed with the usual topology. Let G be the torsion subgroup of \mathbb{T} , i.e., G consists of the elements of \mathbb{T} of finite order. Clearly, G is metrizable and zero-dimensional. We know that G is a dense subgroup of the topological group \mathbb{T} . By Theorem 2.14, we conclude that G is pseudobounded. □

Consider a paratopological group (G, τ) . Let τ^{-1} the conjugate topology on G . Then $\tau_* = \tau \wedge \tau^{-1}$ is the finest group topology on G weaker than τ and $G_* = (G, \tau_*)$ is called the *group reflection* of G (see [14]).

Proposition 2.23. *([10]) If G is an Abelian paratopological group and \mathcal{U} is local base at the identity in G , then $\mathcal{U}_* = \{UU^{-1} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is local base at the identity in G_* .*

Example 2.24. *There exists a Hausdorff connected paratopological group which is not ω -pseudobounded.*

Proof. Consider the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. Fix a natural number k and put $U_n(k) = \{0\} \cup (k\mathbb{N} + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}))$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Arguing as in Example 2.16, we can conclude that the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n(k) : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions i)–iv) in Lemma 2.15, so there exists a topology τ on \mathbb{R} such that $H = (\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ is a paratopological group and the family \mathcal{U} is a local base at 0 in H . According to Proposition 2.23, $\mathcal{U}_* = \{U_n(k) - U_n(k) : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is local base at 0 in H_* . It is easy to check that $U_n(k) - U_n(k) = k\mathbb{Z} + (-\frac{2}{n}, \frac{2}{n})$ for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We conclude that the topology τ_* is weaker than the usual topology in \mathbb{R} , so the space H_* is connected. Put $W_n(k) = k\mathbb{Z} + (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, then the family $\{W_n(k) : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is local base at 0 in H_* . Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n(k) - U_n(k)) = k\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\bigcap_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n(k) - U_n(k)) = \{0\}$, so H_* and H are Hausdorff spaces.

By the definition of the topology τ , we have that $W_n(k) \subseteq \overline{U_n(k)}^\tau$ for every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us show that H is connected. Suppose the contrary, then there exists non-empty open sets A and B in H such that $A \cup B = H$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. We claim that A and B are open sets in H_* . Take $a \in A$, there exists $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a + U_n(k) \subseteq A$. Since A is closed in H , we have that $a + W_n(k) \subseteq a + \overline{U_n(k)}^\tau \subseteq A$. This implies that A is open in H_* . Using a similar argument we conclude that B is open in H_* . In addition, $A \cup B = H_*$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. This contradicts the connectedness of H_* , so H is connected. Clearly, H is not ω -pseudobounded. \square

Proposition 2.25. *Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be a continuous homomorphism from the paratopological group G onto the paratopological group H . If G is pseudobounded (ω -pseudobounded), then H is ω -pseudobounded.*

Proof. Suppose that G is ω -pseudobounded. Take V a open neighbourhood of the identity in H . Put $U = f^{-1}(V)$. Since f is a continuous homomorphism, U is a neighbourhood of the identity in G . By hypothesis, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n$. We conclude, $H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(U^n) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(U)^n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^n$, so H is ω -pseudobounded. The proof of the pseudobounded case is similar. \square

Denote by $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ the free paratopological group and the free Abelian paratopological group on a space X , respectively. In what follows, we use $PG(X)$ to denote the paratopological group $F(X)$ or $A(X)$. We use the argument in the proof of [3, Proposition 7.1.12] to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.26. *For every space X , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $PG(X)$ is pseudobounded;
- $PG(X)$ is ω -pseudobounded;
- $X = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let X be a non-empty space. Define a function f to the discrete group \mathbb{Z} by $f(x) = 1$ for each $x \in X$. Then f is continuous, so it admits an extension to a continuous homomorphism $\hat{f}: PG(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\hat{f}(PG(X)) = \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 2.25, \mathbb{Z} is ω -pseudobounded. This contradiction shows that $X = \emptyset$. \square

Problem 2.27. *Is every pseudobounded paratopological (topological) group a precompact or ω -narrow?*

For a Hausdorff paratopological group G with the identity e the Hausdorff number [15] of G , denoted by $Hs(G)$, is the minimum cardinal number κ such that for every neighborhood U of e in G , there exists a family γ of neighborhoods of e such that $\bigcap_{V \in \gamma} VV^{-1} \subseteq U$ and $|\gamma| \leq \kappa$.

Theorem 2.28. *Let G be a Hausdorff paratopological group of countable pseudocharacter. If $Hs(G) \leq \omega$ and G is saturated, then it is submetrizable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of open neighborhoods of e in G such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \{e\}$. Let \mathcal{B}_e be a local base at e in G , and let

$$\sigma = \{U \subset G : \text{There exists a } V \in \mathcal{B}_e \text{ such that } xVV^{-1} \subset U \text{ for each } x \in U\}.$$

Since G is saturated, it follows from [5, Theorem 3.2] that (G, σ) is a topological group. Obvious, (G, σ) is T_1 since (G, τ) is Hausdorff, and hence (G, σ) is regular. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $Hs(G) \leq \omega$, there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_e$ such that $\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{B}_n} VV^{-1} \subset U_n$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_e$. Then we have

$$\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{B}} VV^{-1} \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \{e\}.$$

Therefore, topological group (G, σ) is of countable pseudocharacter, and thus it is submetrizable by [3, Theorem 3.3.16]. Therefore, (G, τ) is submetrizable. \square

By Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.28, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.29. *Let G be a Hausdorff paratopological group of countable pseudocharacter. If G satisfies (1) or (2), then it is submetrizable.*

- (1) *The group G is a pseudobounded premeager paratopological group with $Hs(G) \leq \omega$;*
- (2) *The group G is an ω -pseudobounded Lusin paratopological group with $Hs(G) \leq \omega$.*

It is easy to see that a Hausdorff first-countable paratopological group has a countable Hausdorff number. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.30. *Let G be a Hausdorff pseudobounded and premeager paratopological group. If G is first-countable, then it is submetrizable.*

However, the following problem is still open.

Problem 2.31. *Let G be a Hausdorff pseudobounded and premeager paratopological group. If G is of countable pseudocharacter, is it submetrizable?*

In [9], the authors show that there exists a regular developable paratopological group which is not metrizable. However, that example is not ω -pseudobounded. Therefore, we have the following problem.

Problem 2.32. *Is every regular developable and pseudobounded paratopological group metrizable?*

Problem 2.33. *Is every regular pseudobounded paratopological group with a uniform base metrizable?*

Acknowledgement: Fucai Lin was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 11201414, 10971185) and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2012J05013) of China. Shou Lin was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 11201414, 11171162, 10971185) of China.

References

- [1] O.T. Alas, M. Sanchis, Countably compact paratopological groups, *Semigroup Forum* 74 (2007) 423–438.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, E.A. Reznichenko, Paratopological and semitopological groups versus topological groups, *Topology Appl.* 151 (2005) 107–119.
- [3] A.V. Arhangel'skii, M.G. Tkachenko, *Topological groups and related structures*, Atlantis Studies in Mathematics, Vol. I, Atlantis Press/World Scientific, Paris-Amsterdam, 2008.
- [4] K. H. Azar, Bounded topological groups, arXiv: 1003.2876.
- [5] T. Banach, I. Guran, O. Ravsky, *Characterizing meager paratopological groups*, *Applied General Topology*, **12(1)**(2011) 27–33.
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. I, Structure of Topological Groups, Integration Theory, Group Representations*. Second edition. *Fund. Prin. of Math. Sci.*, 115. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979).
- [8] F. Lin and S. Lin, Pseudobounded or ω -pseudobounded paratopological groups, *Filomat*, 25:3 (2011) 93–103.
- [9] F. Lin and C. Liu, On paratopological groups, *Topology Appl.*, 159 (2012) 2764–2773.
- [10] O.V. Ravsky, Paratopological groups I, *Matematychni Studii* 16 (2001), No. 1, 37–48.
- [11] O.V. Ravsky, Paratopological groups II, *Matematychni Studii* 17 (2002), No. 1, 93–101.
- [12] O.V. Ravsky, Pseudocompact paratopological groups that are topological, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5343> (April 7, 2012).
- [13] M. Sanchis, M.G. Tkachenko, Totally Lindelöf and totally ω -narrow paratopological groups, *Topology Appl.* 155 (2007) 322–334.
- [14] M. Tkachenko, Group reflection and precompact paratopological groups, *Topological Algebra and its Applications*, (2013) 22–30.
- [15] M. Tkachenko, Embedding paratopological groups into topological products, *Topol. Appl.*, 156(2009) 1298–1305.