

Spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases

SHEN Rong-xin^{1,2} LIN Shou^{3,*}

Abstract. It is discussed in this paper the spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases. The main results are: (1) A space X has a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base if and only if X is a k -space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base; (2) Under (CH), every separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base.

§1 Introduction

In [34], Sirois-Dumais introduced the weakly quasi-first-countable spaces, which are natural generalizations of the well-known weakly first-countable spaces. Liu and Lin [23] introduced the notion of \aleph_0 -weak bases, which revealed the elementary character of weakly quasi-first-countable spaces. It has been founded from the recent study that the notion of \aleph_0 -weak bases plays an interesting role in the theory of generalized metric spaces and topological groups [23, 24, 30-33]. In [30], Shen gave a systematical discussion on the spaces with certain \aleph_0 -weak bases, and revealed the relation between these spaces and the quotient, countable-to-one images of metric spaces. It has been proved in [30] that a regular space X has a σ -discrete \aleph_0 -weak base if and only if it has a σ -locally finite \aleph_0 -weak base. Also in [24], Liu, Lin and Li proved that a regular space X has a σ -locally finite \aleph_0 -weak base if and only if it has a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving \aleph_0 -weak base.

A family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in H\}$ of subsets of a space X is called *hereditarily closure-preserving* [13] if $\overline{\cup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in H\}} = \cup\{\overline{A_\alpha} : \alpha \in H\}$ whenever $A_\alpha \subset B_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in H$. \mathcal{B} is called *point-discrete* (also called *weakly hereditarily closure-preserving* [4]) if $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in H\}$ is closed discrete whenever $x_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in H$. \mathcal{B} is called *compact-finite* if every compact subset of X intersects at most finite members of \mathcal{B} . \mathcal{B} is called *σ -point-discrete* (*σ -compact-finite*) if \mathcal{B} is a countable union of point-discrete (compact-finite) families. It is easy to see that every locally finite family of subsets of a space is hereditarily closure-preserving and compact-finite, and every

Received: 2011-04-20.

MR Subject Classification: 54C10, 54D20, 54D70, 54E30, 54E40.

Keywords: \aleph_0 -weak base, σ -point-discrete network, σ -compact-finite network, separable space.

Digital Object Identifier(DOI): 10.1007/s11766-013-3034-9.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971185, 11171162, 11201053), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (20090461093, 201003571), Jiangsu Planned Projects for Teachers Overseas Research Funds and Taizhou Teachers College Research Funds.

* Corresponding author.

hereditarily closure-preserving family is point-discrete. Research on σ -point-discrete networks and σ -compact-finite networks is one of the important topics in the theory of generalized metric spaces. Burke, Engelking and Lutzer [4] discussed the spaces with σ -point-discrete bases. Boone [3] proved that every regular space with σ -compact-finite bases is metrizable. Liu and Tanaka [25], Lin and Yan [20] discussed the spaces with σ -point-discrete weak bases and the spaces with σ -compact-finite weak bases. Ge [9] characterized \aleph_0 -spaces by σ -point-discrete strong cs -networks. Lin and Shen [15] gave a strict relationship between the spaces with σ -point-discrete sn -networks and the spaces with σ -compact-finite sn -networks. These works lead us to study the spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases. In this direction, we are interested in the following question:

Question 1.1. Does every k -space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base have a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base?

In Section 2, we shall give an affirmative answer to this question.

In Section 3, we discuss the separable spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases. We shall prove that under (CH), every separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base. As an application, each closed map on a space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base is compact-covering under (CH). It will be also pointed out that the assumption (CH) can be replaced by either of the following conditions: (1) X is \aleph_1 -compact; (2) The sequential order of X is countable.

In this paper all spaces are regular. By \mathbb{N} and ω_1 , we denote the set of all natural numbers and the first uncountable ordinal, respectively. For a space X , $I(X)$ is the set of all isolated points of X . For a family \mathcal{P} of subsets of X , $\cap\mathcal{P}$ and $\cup\mathcal{P}$ are respectively the intersection and union of all members of \mathcal{P} . $\mathcal{P}^{<\omega} = \{\cap\mathcal{P}' : \mathcal{P}' \text{ is a finite subfamily of } \mathcal{P}\}$. We recall some basic definitions.

Definition 1.1. [23] Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of a space X . \mathcal{B} is said to be an \aleph_0 -weak base for X if $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

- (1) For each $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$ is closed under finite intersections and $x \in \cap\mathcal{B}_x(n)$;
- (2) A subset U of X is open if and only if whenever $x \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)$ such that $B_x(n) \subset U$.

X is called \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable [36] or weakly quasi-first-countable in the sense of Sirois-Dumais [34] if $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$ is countable for each $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\mathcal{B}_x(n) = \mathcal{B}_x(1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the definition of \aleph_0 -weak bases, then \mathcal{B} is called to be a weak base [2] for X . X is called weakly first-countable or g -first-countable in the sense of Arhangel'skiĭ [2] if $B_x(1)$ is countable for each $x \in X$.

Let X be a space. $P \subset X$ is called a sequential neighborhood [6] of x in X , if each sequence converging to $x \in X$ is eventually in P . A subset U of X is called sequentially open [6] if U is a sequential neighborhood of each of its points. X is called a sequential space [6] if each sequentially open subset of X is open. X is called a k -space [6] if every subset A of X is open

whenever $A \cap K$ is open in K for each compact subset $K \subset X$. Note that every \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable space is a sequential space [34], and every sequential space is a k -space [5].

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{P} be a cover of a space X . Then

(1) \mathcal{P} is called a *network* [1] for X if for any open set U and a point $x \in U$, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in P \subset U$;

(2) \mathcal{P} is called a *k-network* [10] for X if for any compact set K and for any open set U such that $K \subset U$, $K \subset \cup \mathcal{P}' \subset U$ for some finite $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$;

(3) \mathcal{P} is called a *cs-network* [12] for X if for any open set U and any sequence L converging to a point $x \in U$, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in P \subset U$ and $L - P$ is finite;

(4) \mathcal{P} is called a *cs*-network* [7] for X if for any open set U and any sequence L converging to a point $x \in U$, there exists a subsequence L' of L and a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $L' \cup \{x\} \subset P \subset U$;

(5) \mathcal{P} is called a *wcs*-network* [19] if for any open set U and any sequence L converging to a point $x \in U$, there exists a subsequence L' of L and a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $L' \subset P \subset U$;

(6) \mathcal{P} is called an *sn-network* [8,17] for X if for any open set U and a point $x \in U$, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in P \subset U$ and P is a sequential neighborhood of x .

These notions have the following implications.

Remark 1.1. (1) weak bases \rightarrow *sn*-networks \rightarrow *cs*-networks \rightarrow *cs**-networks \rightarrow *wcs**-networks \rightarrow networks;

(2) weak bases \rightarrow \aleph_0 -weak bases \rightarrow *cs**-networks [30];

(3) *k*-networks \rightarrow *wcs**-networks.

X is called an \aleph -space [28] if it has a σ -locally finite *k*-network. X is called an \aleph_0 -space [27] if it has a countable *k*-network, which is equivalent to the spaces with a countable *cs**-network [7]. In [23], it is proved that a space X has a σ -locally finite \aleph_0 -weak base (countable \aleph_0 -weak base) if and only if it is an \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable, \aleph -space (\aleph_0 -space).

§2 Spaces with σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak bases and spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases

Lemma 2.1. [30] Let X be a space. $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of subsets of X , here each $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$ is a network at x in X and $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$ is closed under finite intersections for each $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the following two conditions.

(1) \mathcal{B} is an \aleph_0 -weak base for X .

(2) For any sequence L converging to x in X , there exist a subsequence L' of L and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that L' is eventually in B for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_x(n_0)$.

We have (1) \Rightarrow (2). Moreover, if X is sequential, (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a sequential space with an \aleph_0 -weak base $\mathcal{P} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then X has an \aleph_0 -weak base $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}$, and for each $x \in X - I(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a non-trivial sequence L which converges to x and is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, if $x \in I(X)$, put $\mathcal{B}_x(n) = \mathcal{P}_x(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in X - I(X)$, since X is a sequential space, there is a non-trivial sequence L_0 converging to x . By Lemma 2.1, there exist an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence L_1 of L_0 such that L_1 is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_x(n_0)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if there is no non-trivial sequence L such that L converges to x and is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_x(n)$, then we put $\mathcal{B}_x(n) = \mathcal{P}_x(n_0)$. Otherwise we put $\mathcal{B}_x(n) = \mathcal{P}_x(n)$. By Lemma 2.1, we can easily verify that $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_x(n): x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is an \aleph_0 -weak base for X which satisfies that for each $x \in X - I(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a non-trivial sequence L which converges to x and is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$. \square

Lemma 2.3. [20] *Let \mathcal{P} be a point-discrete family of a space X . Put $D = \{x \in X : \mathcal{P} \text{ is not point-finite at } x\}$. Then $\{P - D : P \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in D\}$ is compact-finite.*

Theorem 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent for a space X .*

- (1) X has a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base.
- (2) X is an \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base.
- (3) X is a k -space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious. We now prove (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Let X be a k -space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. First we prove that X is a sequential space. It is sufficient to show any compact subset of X is metrizable. By Lemma 2.3, X has a σ -compact-finite network. Thus any compact subset of X has a countable network. By [5, Theorem 3.1.19], any compact subset of X is metrizable.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_x(m) : x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-discrete family and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. By Lemma 2.2, we can assume that \mathcal{P} satisfies that for each $x \in X - I(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a non-trivial sequence $L_{x,m}$ which converges to x and is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_x(m)$.

If $x \in I(X)$, then $\{x\}$ is open in X . Thus $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}$. So $I(X)$ is a σ -closed discrete subspace of X . For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \{x \in X : \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point-finite at } x\}; \\ V_m(P) &= \{x \in X - I(X) : P \in \mathcal{P}_x(m)\}; \\ W_{n,m}(P) &= (P - D_n) \cup V_m(P). \end{aligned}$$

Then $W_{n,m}(P) \subset P$. Now we show $\{W_{n,m}(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is compact-finite for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every point-finite and point-discrete family is compact-finite, it is sufficient to show $\{W_{n,m}(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is point-finite. It is easy to see that $\{P - D_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is point-finite. So we only need to show $\{V_m(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is point-finite. For $x \in X - I(X)$, if $\{P \in \mathcal{P}_n : x \in W_{n,m}(P)\}$ is infinite, then $\mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is infinite. Pick $\{P_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. Since $L_{x,m}$ is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_x(m)$, we can choose a subsequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $L_{x,m}$ such that $x_i \in P_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts that \mathcal{P}_n is point-discrete. Therefore $\{W_{n,m}(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is compact-finite.

For each $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{B}'_x(m) = \begin{cases} \{\{x\}\}, & x \in I(X), \\ \{W_{n,m}(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, & x \in X - I(X) \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{B}_x(m) = \mathcal{B}'_x(m)^{<\omega}$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_x(m) : x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is σ -compact-finite. To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show \mathcal{B} is an \aleph_0 -weak base for X .

To begin with, for each $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_x(m)$ is a network at x . In fact, let U be an open neighborhood of x , there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $P \subset U$. Then $x \in W_{n,m}(P) \subset P \subset U$. In addition, let L be a non-trivial sequence converging to $x \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence L' such that L' is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_x(m)$. By Lemma 2.3, $(L' \cup \{x\}) \cap D_n$ is finite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.1, L' is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{B}_x(m)$. Therefore \mathcal{B} is a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base for X . \square

Remark 2.1. In [15], Lin and Shen proved that every space with a σ -point-discrete sn -network has a σ -compact-finite sn -network. However, this is not true for \aleph_0 -weak bases. Indeed, Burke, Engelking and Lutzer [4] gave a space with a σ -point-discrete base which is not a k -space.

It is well-known that a space X has a σ -compact-finite weak base if and only if X is a k -space with a σ -compact-finite sn -network. The following two examples show that a space with a σ -compact-finite sn -network (even with a compact-finite sn -network) may not have a σ -point-discrete cs^* -network.

Example 2.1. There exists a space which has a σ -compact-finite sn -network, but does not have any σ -point-discrete network.

Proof. Let X be an uncountable set and p be a fixed point in X . We endow X with the Fortissimo topology [18, 35]. That is, every point $x \in X - \{p\}$ is isolated and the neighborhood base at p is $\{U \subset X : p \in U \text{ and } X - U \text{ is countable}\}$. According to [18, Example 2.5.19], X satisfies the following two conditions.

- (1) Every compact subset of X is finite.
- (2) Every uncountable $A \subset X$ is not closed discrete.

By (1), there is no non-trivial convergent sequences in X . As a result, $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is a compact-finite sn -network for X . Note that $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is also a k -network for X by (1). Now we prove that X doesn't have any σ -point-discrete network. Suppose that X has a network $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$, where \mathcal{P}_n is point-discrete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every $x \in X - \{p\}$ is isolated and \mathcal{P} is a network for X , $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}$ for every $x \in X - \{p\}$. So we can find an uncountable subset A of $X - \{p\}$ and an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}_{n_0}$ for each $x \in A$. By (2), \mathcal{P}_{n_0} can not be point-discrete. This is a contradiction. \square

Example 2.2. There exists a space which has a compact-finite sn -network, but does not have any σ -point-discrete network.

Proof. Let X be the infinite, completely regular and countably compact space in [11, Example 9.1] in which every compact subset is finite. Since every compact subset of X is finite, $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is a compact-finite sn -network for X . It is easy to prove that a countably compact space with a σ -point-discrete network has a countable network. If X has a σ -point-discrete network, then X is metrizable, hence it is discrete. This is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.1. [24] *Every strongly Fréchet-Urysohn space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base is metrizable.*

Proof. Let X be a strongly Fréchet-Urysohn space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. By Theorem 2.4, X has a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base. Then X is \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable. By [29, Lemma 2.14], X is first-countable. Since any compact-finite family of subsets of a first-countable space is locally finite, X has a σ -locally finite \aleph_0 -weak base. So X is an \aleph -space [30, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore X is metrizable. \square

The following questions remain open.

Question 2.1. Does every space with a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base have a σ -locally finite \aleph_0 -weak base?

Note that this question is closely related to Liu's question [18, 21]: whether every space with a σ -compact-finite weak base is g -metrizable? Note that if the answer to Question 2.1 is affirmative, then the same to Liu's question.

Question 2.2. Does every weakly first-countable space with a σ -compact-finite \aleph_0 -weak base have a σ -compact-finite weak base?

Question 2.3. Does every weakly first-countable (weakly quasi-first-countable) space with a σ -point-discrete cs^* -network have a σ -compact-finite weak base (\aleph_0 -weak base)?

§3 Separable spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that a space X has a σ -point-discrete wcs^* -network. Then X has a σ -point-discrete k -network and a σ -compact-finite k -network.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -point-discrete wcs^* -network for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-discrete family and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. Put $D_n = \{x \in X : \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point-finite at } x\}$, $\mathcal{P}'_n = \{P - D_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in D_n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}' = \cup\{\mathcal{P}'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By Lemma 2.3, \mathcal{P}' is σ -compact-finite. Note that the intersection of D_n and any compact subset of X is finite, we can see that \mathcal{P}' refines \mathcal{P} and is a wcs^* -network, hence any compact subset of X is metrizable and \mathcal{P}' is a σ -compact-finite k -network (see [37, Proposition B(1)]). We prove that \mathcal{P} is a k -network. Let $K \subset U$ with K compact and U open in X , it is easy to see that $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \subset U\}$ is a σ -point-discrete wcs^* -network of the space U . Without loss of generality, we assume $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \subset U\} = \mathcal{P}$. Since \mathcal{P}' is a k -network, there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}'$

such that $K \subset \cup \mathcal{F} \subset U$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, pick $P(F) \in \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \subset U\}$ such that $F \subset P(F)$, then $K \subset \cup \{P(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset U$. \square

Since every k -network for a space X is a wcs^* -network for X , we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. *A space X has a σ -point-discrete k -network if and only if X has a σ -point-discrete wcs^* -network.*

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that a space X has a σ -point-discrete k -network. Then X has a σ -compact-finite k -network.*

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that a space X has a σ -point-discrete wcs^* -network. Then X has a σ -compact-finite wcs^* -network.*

We remark here that F. Lin also prove the same result of Corollary 3.3.

Example 3.1. [14, Example 2.2] The fan space S_{ω_1} has a σ -point-discrete cs^* -network, and S_{ω_1} does not have any σ -compact-finite cs^* -network.

Since S_{ω_1} does not have any σ -point-discrete cs -network [14, Theorem 2.8], the following question remains open.

Question 3.1. Suppose that a space X have a σ -point-discrete cs -network. Then does X have a σ -compact-finite cs -network?

Now we discuss separable spaces with σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak bases. We must remark that the main technique used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 comes from [22].

Theorem 3.1. *Under (CH), every separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base.*

Proof. Let X be a separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. By (CH), the character of X is not greater than ω_1 . Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \cup \{\mathcal{P}_x(m) : x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-discrete family and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{P}_x(m)$ for each $x \in X - I(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}_x(m) = \{\{x\}\}$ for each $x \in I(X)$. Now suppose $\mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is uncountable for some $x \in X - I(x)$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be the local base at x . Notice that for any neighborhood V of x , $V \cap (P - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_x(m)$. Then, by induction, there exist a subset $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of X and a subfamily $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of $\mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$, where $x_\alpha \neq x$ and $P_\alpha \neq P_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. Thus $x \in \overline{S}$, which contradicts the point-discreteness of \mathcal{P}_n . Therefore X is \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable, and thus sequential.

By Lemma 3.1, X has a σ -compact-finite k -network. Under (CH), a separable, sequential space with a σ -compact-finite k -network is an \aleph_0 -space [25, Theorem 7]. Hence, X has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Every closed map on a space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base is compact-covering under (CH).*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed map and X have a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. Assume that L is a compact subset of Y . Since X has a σ -point-discrete network, Y also has a σ -point-discrete network. By Lemma 2.3, Y has a σ -compact-finite network. So L is a compact metrizable subspace of Y . Then we can take a countable $D \subset L$ such that $L = \overline{D}$. For each $y \in D$, pick $x_y \in f^{-1}(y)$. Let $E = \{x_y : y \in D\}$. Then E is countable and $f(\overline{E}) = L$. Now \overline{E} is a separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. By Theorem 3.1, \overline{E} has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base. So \overline{E} is a paracompact space. By [26], every closed map on a paracompact space is compact-covering. Therefore, there is a compact $K \subset \overline{E}$ such that $f(K) = L$. \square

In the following, we shall prove that the assumption (CH) in Theorem 3.1 can be replaced by either of the following conditions: (1) X is \aleph_1 -compact; (2) The sequential order of X is countable.

Recall a space X is \aleph_1 -compact if each closed discrete subspace of X is countable. Let S be a subset for X . We define iterates of the operator $\text{seq } cl$ inductively for a space X as follows: $\text{seq } cl^0(S) = S$; $\text{seq } cl(S) = \{x : x \text{ is a limit point of } S\}$; if α is an ordinal, let $\text{seq } cl^{\alpha+1}(S) = \text{seq } cl(\text{seq } cl^\alpha(S))$; if α is a limit ordinal, let $\text{seq } cl^\alpha(S) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{seq } cl^\beta(S)$. The *sequential order* of X is the least ordinal α such that for each subset S of X we have $cl(S) = \text{seq } cl^\alpha(S)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose a space X has a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. If $A \subset X$ is \aleph_1 -compact, then $\text{seq } cl(A)$ is \aleph_1 -compact.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a closed discrete subset $D = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ in $\text{seq } cl(A) - A$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $\{x_n^\alpha\} \subset A$ be a sequence converging to x_α . Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x(m) : x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-discrete family and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. We assign to each $\alpha < \omega_1$ an $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence $\{y_n^\alpha\}$ of $\{x_n^\alpha\}$ such that $\{y_n^\alpha\}$ is eventually in each element of $\mathcal{P}_{x_\alpha}(m_\alpha)$. Since D is closed discrete, we can take $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{x_\alpha}(m_\alpha)$ such that $P_\alpha \cap D = \{x_\alpha\}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{y_n^\alpha : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset P_\alpha$ and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{n_0}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\{y_n^\alpha : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is uncountable, then we can take an uncountable $S = \{y_\beta : \beta < \omega_1\} \subset \{y_n^\alpha : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ such that $y_\beta \in P_\beta$. Thus S is a uncountable closed discrete subset of A . This is a contradiction.

Now suppose that $\{y_n^\alpha : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is countable. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, pick a $k(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is point-finite at $y_{k(\alpha)}^\alpha$. Then $T = \{y_{k(\alpha)}^\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is countable. So T intersects at most countable elements of $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Thus $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is countable. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\text{seq } cl(A)$ is \aleph_1 -compact. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a separable space with a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base. If one of the followings holds, then X has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base.*

- (1) X is \aleph_1 -compact;
 (2) The sequential order of X is countable.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.1, X has a σ -compact-finite k -network. An \aleph_1 -compact space with a σ -compact-finite k -network is an \aleph_0 -space [16]. So we only need to show X is \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_x(m) : x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -point-discrete \aleph_0 -weak base for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-discrete family and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. For each $x \in X - I(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{P}_x(m)$. Suppose $\mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ is uncountable for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X - I(X)$. Then we can choose an uncountable $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and a $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{P}_x(m) \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\{x, x_\alpha\} \subset P_\alpha$ and the P_α 's are distinct. Thus $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an uncountable, closed discrete subset of X , which is a contradiction with the \aleph_1 -compactness of X . Therefore X is \aleph_0 -weakly first-countable. The proof is complete.

(2) Since X is separable, we can pick a countable $D \subset X$ such that $X = \overline{D}$. Since the sequential order of X is countable, $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \text{seq } cl^\alpha(D)$ for some countable ordinal γ . By Lemma 3.2, $\text{seq } cl^\alpha(D)$ is \aleph_1 -compact for each $\alpha < \gamma$. Hence, X is \aleph_1 -compact. By (1), X has a countable \aleph_0 -weak base. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions, which led to a much better presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] A V Arhangel'skiĭ. *An addition theorem for the weight of sets lying in bicomacts (in Russian)*, Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR, 1959, 126: 239-241.
- [2] A V Arhangel'skiĭ. *Mappings and spaces*, Russian Math Surveys, 1966, 21: 115-162.
- [3] J R Boone. *Some characterizations of paracompactness in k -spaces*, Fund Math, 1971, 72: 145-153.
- [4] D K Burke, R Engelking, D J Lutzer. *Hereditarily closure-preserving collections and metrization*, Proc Amer Math Soc, 1975, 51: 483-488.
- [5] R Engelking. *General Topology (revised and completed edition)*, Heldermann Verlag, 1989.
- [6] S P Franklin. *Spaces in which sequences suffice*, Fund Math, 1965, 57: 107-115.
- [7] Z Gao. *\aleph -space is invariant under perfect mappings*, Questions Answers Gen Topology, 1987, 5: 271-279.
- [8] Y Ge. *On sn -metrizable spaces (in Chinese)*, Acta Math Sinica (Chinese series), 2002, 45: 355-360.
- [9] Y Ge. *A note on \aleph_0 -spaces*, Math Sci, 2006, 12: 1-4.
- [10] G Gruenhage. *Generalized metric spaces*, In: K Kunen, J E Vaughan, Eds. *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, North-Holland Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., 1984: 423-501.

- [11] G Gruenhagen, E Michael, Y Tanaka. *Spaces determined by point-countable covers*, Pacific J Math, 1984, 113: 303-332.
- [12] J A Guthrie. *A characterization of \aleph_0 -spaces*, Gen Topology Appl, 1971, 1: 105-110.
- [13] N Lašnev. *Closed images of metric spaces (in Russian)*, Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR, 1966, 170: 505-507.
- [14] F Lin. *σ -point-discrete cs^* -networks and wcs^* -networks*, J Adv Res Pure Math, 2010, 2: 7-12.
- [15] F Lin, R Shen. *Some notes on σ -point-discrete sn -networks*, Adv Math (China), 2010, 39: 212-216.
- [16] S Lin. *A study of pseudobases*, Questions Answers Gen Topology, 1988, 6: 81-97.
- [17] S Lin. *On sequence-covering s -maps (in Chinese)*, Adv Math (China), 1996, 25: 548-551.
- [18] S Lin. *Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings (Second Ed.)*, Chinese Science Press, 2007.
- [19] S Lin, Y Tanaka. *Point-countable k -networks, closed maps, and related results*, Topology Appl, 1994, 59: 79-86.
- [20] S Lin, L Yan. *A note on spaces with a σ -compact-finite weak base*, Tsukuba J Math, 2004, 28: 85-91.
- [21] C Liu. *Spaces with a σ -compact-finite k -network*, Questions Answers Gen Topology, 1992, 10: 81-87.
- [22] C Liu. *Notes on g -metrizable spaces*, Topology Proc, 2005, 29: 207-215.
- [23] C Liu, S Lin. *On countable-to-one maps*, Topology Appl, 2007, 154: 449-454.
- [24] C Liu, S Lin, J Li. *Some properties on \aleph_0 -weak bases*, Topology Proc, 2012, 39: 195-208.
- [25] C Liu, Y Tanaka. *Spaces having σ -compact-finite k -networks, and related matters*, Topology Proc, 1996, 21: 173-200.
- [26] E Michael. *A note on closed maps and compact sets*, Israel J Math, 1964, 2: 173-176.
- [27] E Michael. *\aleph_0 -spaces*, J Math Mech, 1966, 15: 983-1002.
- [28] P O'Meara. *On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact open topology*, Proc Amer Math Soc, 1971, 29: 183-189.
- [29] M Sakai. *Function spaces with a countable cs^* -network at a point*, Topology Appl, 2008, 156: 117-123.
- [30] R Shen. *On \aleph_0 -weak bases*, Houston J Math, 2009, 35: 501-514.
- [31] R Shen. *Some new characterizations of metrizable spaces*, Studia Sci Math Hungar, 2010, 47: 223-229.
- [32] R Shen. *Quasi and weakly quasi-first-countable spaces (in Chinese)*, Appl Math J Chinese Univ Ser A, 2010, 25: 224-228.
- [33] R Shen, S Lin. *A note on generalized metrizable properties in topological groups (in Chinese)*, Chinese Ann Math Ser A, 2009, 30: 697-704.
- [34] R Sirois-Dumais. *Quasi- and weakly quasi-first countable spaces*, Topology Appl, 1980, 11: 223-230.

- [35] L A Steen, J A Seebach. *Counterexamples in Topology (Second Ed.)*, Dover Publications Inc, 1995.
- [36] S A Svetlichny. *Intersection of topologies and metrizability in topological groups (in Russian)*, Vestnik Moskov Univ Ser I Mat Mekh, 1989, 44: 79-81.
- [37] Y Tanaka. *Theory of k-network*, Questions Answers Gen Topology, 1994, 12: 139-164.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Taizhou Teachers' College, Taizhou 225300, China.

² Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.

Email: srx20212021@163.com

³ Department of Mathematics, Ningde Normal University, Ningde 352100, China.

Email: shoulin60@163.com